UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/597,442	12/23/2008	Jelmer Eelke Jongsma	BIRD-001	1051	
	7590 05/18/201 FIELD & FRANCIS LI		EXAMINER		
1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE SUITE 200			EDWARDS, BRETT J		
	LTO, CA 94303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3781		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/18/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/597,442	JONGSMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brett Edwards	3781	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access  Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original original contents are considered to by the Examiner  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	937 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)	ite	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3781

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6, drawn to a container having a mouth opening and membrane lid, wherein the membrane lid consists of plastic over its thickness at an attachment zone.

Group II, claim(s) 7-15, drawn to a container with a bottom, a peripheral wall, a mouth opening closed by a membrane lid, the method comprising providing a first plastic on an edge of the mouth, providing a membrane lid with an attachment zone and second plastic on the edge of the mouth opening and guiding a laser beam along and through the attachment zone to fuse the first and second plastic.

Group III, claim(s) 16-18, drawn to a container having a horizontal bottom, vertical wall, a mouth opening in the form of a ring, a circular attachment zone, a circular polymeric connecting layer fused to a circular planar surface of the mouth, and a transparent lid fused to the circular connecting layer.

Group IV, claim(s) 19, drawn to a container having a mouth opening and a membrane lid with an attachment zone on an edge of the mouth, the membrane lid consists at the attachment zone over its thickness of plastic, wherein a center zone surrounded by the attachment zone comprises a metal layer, wherein a bottom and peripheral wall of the container are sheet metal, wherein the mouth opening comprises a plastic coating and the attachment zone of the membrane lid is fused to the edge of the mouth.

Group V, claim(s) 20, drawn to a method of producing a sheet metal container having a mouth opening defined by an upper part of the peripheral wall and a membrane lid with an attachment zone arranged on the edge of the mouth opening, the method comprising providing a first plastic coating on an edge of the

mouth, the first plastic substantially absorbing energy of a laser beam, providing a membrane lid having an attachment zone for attachment to the edge, the membrane lid consisting over its thickness a second plastic which is substantially transparent to the laser beam, and arranging the membrane lid on the edge of the mouth and guiding the laser beam along the attachment zone onto the first plastic, such that the energy of the laser beam is dissipated in the first plastic and generated heat fuses the first plastic to the second plastic.

2. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The corresponding technical feature between groups I-V is a container having a mouth opening and a plastic lid attached to the mouth opening.

Fishman (EP 0483569, of record) discloses a container having a mouth opening (68) and a plastic lid (43) attached to the mouth opening (Fig. 9, 10; Col. 4, II. 51 – Col. 5, II. 34).

Since the technical feature is known in the art, it does not constitute as a special technical feature. Since the different groups seek to solve different problems through different, potentially unique, means, they lack unity of invention under PCT Rule 13.2.

3. A telephone call was made to Karl Bozicevic on 4/28/2011 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,442

Page 4

Art Unit: 3781

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are

Application/Control Number: 10/597,442

Art Unit: 3781

subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Page 5

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brett Edwards whose telephone number is (571)270-1443. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 AM - 6 PM EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,442 Page 6

Art Unit: 3781

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571)272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/B. E./ Examiner, Art Unit 3781

/Anthony Stashick/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3781